

GOD • FAMILY • COUNTRY

## Session 2

# Organizing to Achieve Religious And Moral Values

Presented by

Glenn J. Kimber, PhD President, Glenn J. Kimber Academy

## John Dewey: His Un-ADewing@ of True Education

(Excerpts from"Home and Private Schooling – Restoring America's Educational Dream" by Dr. Glenn and Julianne S. Kimber, 2005)

America=s Litt	le Red SchoolhousesB
The	Education in the World

As our nation grew in population [during the 1800s], the desirability to Apool resources@ became an efficient method of survival. Neighborhood Abarn-raisings,@ county fairs, and a spirit of community cohesiveness created a climate for the development of Athe little red schoolhouse.@ Says the Shift in Focus report:
AThe >Little Red Schoolhouse= was the result of several families pooling their resources, but it largely retained the school orientation. The focus was on making sure that students had the ability to function in their future, which was, by and large, a predictable one.@ ( <i>Op. Cit.</i> )
It was fortunate for America that the Creator placed upon this continent one of the greatest educators of all time. His name: William Holmes This gifted teacher and writer produced a set of educational primers which included all the aspects of high character and God principles, while at the same time teaching the basics of academics.
By the mid-1830s, McGuffey began publication of his famous McGuffey His books were indicative of the original cycle of American education, with a strong emphasis on the A@ McGuffey=s worksplaced a strong significance on values, together with the mandates of and the qualities needed to develop character. There were frequent references to the Ten Commandments, the Golden Rule, and popular Bible stories.
Parents and community leaders alike expected both the and to drill into the students the necessity of being trustworthy, loyal, helpful, friendly, courteous, kind, obedient, cheerful, thrifty, brave, clean, and reverent. These principles not only became incorporated in the laws of the Boy Scouts of America, but likewise were included in the routine disciplines of every Alittle red schoolhouse@ across the nation.
This formula for education propelled America into world leadership, not only in education, but in industry, science, medicine, and agriculture, which produced an enviable standard of living. It also generated the most charitable nation the world has ever known.
By 1905, though a tiny nation with less than six percent of the world=s population, America was producing more than of the world=s developed wealth. Country after country strived to emulate America=s educational and governmental system of success.

### Kimber Academy Parent/Teacher Packet - Page 1 America for all the best and greatest opport

countries every	where came to America to learn the Aleading edge@ information that the country
had to offer	was indeed the Asign of the times.@
	It Wasn=t Broken B So Why Did Scholars Want to AFix@ It?
	e of society@ was beginning to fulfill a dream for some of the so-called scientists@ of the day. Under the pretense of
	began pushing a system which shifted the focus of education away from the needs of
needs of	concentrate their efforts to see that education would serve what they saw as the became more important than the These social scientists also abandoned McGuffey and any God-centered
concepts. Inste	ead, they focused their attention on the philosophies of a scholar named
In thebegan a crusade	, about the same time McGuffey was developing his primers, Horace Mann e against the McGuffey concepts of moral education. Mann espoused the idea that nd responsibility of education should be shifted from the to the His philosophies and concepts included:
	Children should no longer be held for their Anatural instincts@ of behavior, but were to be looked upon as Ainnately good.@
	An educational establishment was organized to A our society@ through their manipulated opinions of how education should be administered.
•	was to be Athe of all things@Bnot God.
	Children were now to be taught that there are Ano values@ of right and wrongBand that one=s decisions are always based on particular at the time.
Horace Mann	further stated:
	AWhat the has been for medieval man the school must become for democratic and rational man will be replaced by the concept of the
	goodThe commonshall create a more far-seeing intelligence and a morality than has ever existed among communities of men.@ ( <i>Ibid.</i> , p. 32)

Horace Mann continued to promote his educational philosophies, and convinced many
parents that their children had a to education, and that the
ought to see that they got their rights. His goal was to create a
school system, and his vision was that would become the
of society. He wanted Aa new, with the
as its true, and education as its@ (The
Messianic Character of Education by Rousas. J. Rushdoony, [Nutley, N.J., Craig Press, 1968], p. 21)
John Dewey Creates the System to Incorporate the Philosophy
Once Horace Mann=s ideas were in place, a man came along by the name of John He too believed in the Amessianic character of education,@ but took Horace Mann=s philosophies one step further and organized them into and Aeducational@
John Dewey also incorporated into his system his own philosophies that he had developed over many years of selective study. In, John Dewey published his book <i>Democracy and Education</i> , in which he advocated an entirely new, revolutionary approach to child The American schools have never been the same since.
John Dewey called his brainchild A education,@ but even liberal educators such as Robert M. Hutchins called his whole conception education.
Dewey received his Ph.D. from Johns Hopkins where G. Stanley Hall, a disciple of the German philosopher, Wilhelm Wundt, indoctrinated him with the vision of a state with the serving as the change to bring it about in our generation.
Democracy in Education turned out to be a planned pattern of anarchy in education.  Something called A = became the goal instead of
A@ Nothing but the most casual reference was made to English grammar, ancient history, U.S. history, geography, the classics of Western civilization, or even the basic sciences. School was to be just, with each student doing his thing in a climate of permissive, unstructured confusion.
Contemporary educators of national stature treated Dewey with respectful demeanor but expressed professional horror when they saw what Dewey was promoting as Aprogressive education.@ Robert M. Hutchins declared: AHis book is a noble, generous effort to problems through the education system.
Unfortunately, the methods he proposed could solve these problems; they would merely the educational system@ ( <i>Great Western Books</i> , vol. 1, p. 15).
In practice, Dewey practically threw traditional Abook learning@ out the window. Dr. Hutchins wrote: AThe disappearance of great books from education and from the reading of

adults constitutes a calamity. In this view, education in the west has been steadily

Kimber Academy Parent/Teacher Packet – Pages
deteriorating; the rising generation has been of its
; the mess of pottage it has received in exchange has not been
nutritious; adults have come to lead lives comparatively in material comforts and
very in, intellectual, and tone@ (Ibid.,
preface; pp. xii, xiii).
Dewey looked upon the schools as a wonderful opportunity to the
American in the virtues of a glorious age where private property, the free
market, open competition and profits would all be He visited the
Soviet Union in the late 1920s and, instead of recognizing the wasteland of revolutionary
desolation and the widespread destruction of human values, he blissfully described it all as Aa
popular culture impregnated with esthetic@ (John Dewey, Impressions of
Soviet Russia, [New York; 1932], p. 22).
Long before, in 1904, he had joined the faculty of the Teachers College at
University. He had then teamed up with James Earl Russell, the dean o
the Teachers College, who was also a student of Wilhelm Wundt, and together they had
worked for a quarter of a century diligently building this branch of Columbia University into
the largest institution in the world for the training of By 1953, about
one-third of all the presidents and deans of teacher training schools in America were graduates
of Columbia=s Teachers College.
AToday we are reaping the tragic results of the pedagogical misery that America inherited from
Dewey=s misadventure in education. At the same time we rejoice in the
five recent surveys by top professional teachers that recognize the need to divorce Dewey and
get back to excellence in American education.@ (W. Cleon Skousen, editorial, The Freemen
Digest, May 1984)
John Dewey built his entire program on the educational concepts of
To understand the depths of these anti-God ideas, a closer look at what Humanism really is
will be very beneficial. Here is a summary of the beliefs of secular humanism as described in
the pamphlet AWeep For Your Children@ by Dr. Murray Norris:
The second of th
ATo most people, Humanism sounds almost nice. After all, if you are
>human= it means you are kind and thoughtful and possess the many other that make you >human.=
,
ABut if you are a Humanist, you do not believe in God; you
the moral values taught by and; you believe
in suicide, abortion, divorce, euthanasia, and complete sexual freedom to
commit adultery, fornication, and all types of sex perversions
ATouchstone of the Humanist philosophy is the Humanist Manifesto II,
written in 1973, to replace Humanist Manifesto I, written in 1933. This
Manifesto affirms the beliefs of Humanists in suicide, abortion, euthanasia,

sexual

perversions, and divorce. It talks about freedom and world peace, but insists that there is no, no life, that can make his own, his own, his own, his own  AIn practice, Humanists are adamant that shall not
can make his own, his own, his own, his own, shall not
AIn practice, Humanists are adamant that shall not
teach anything that interferes with their promotion of the evolution theory
(which many textbooks insist is fact) or that allows a child to learn about God in school
ATypical of the attitude of Humanists, is this creed from the British Humanist Association:
A>I believe in no God and no hereafter. It is to indoctrinate children with such beliefs have no right to do so, nor indeed have I believe that education and
in school should be eliminated. I believe that denominational schools should be
I believe that children should be taught religion as a matter of historical interest, but should be taught about all religions, including Humanism, Marxism, Maoism, Communism, and other of life. They must also be taught the to religion. I believe in a non-religious social morality
to rengion. I believe in a non-rengious social moranty
A>Unborn babies are not; I am as yet unsure whether the handicapped are people in the real sense.
A>I believe there is no such thing as to be and no life beyond the grave but death=
AThis is only part of the beliefs of Humanists who are now promoting their religion in our public schoolsBHumanism was twice declared to be a religion by the U.S. Supreme Court, once in 1964 and again in 1969.@ (Dr. Murray Morris, <i>Weep For Your Children</i> , published by Christian Family Renewal & Valley Christian University, Clovis, CA, 1977, pp. 3-4)
The closeness of Dewey to these concepts can be borne out in the fact that:
John Dewey the Humanist Manifesto, consenting to the false principles it contained. These principles include atheism, evolution, society-based values, immorality, and the acceptability of euthanasia and suicide.
He was the president of the American Humanist Association.
He the philosophies of the Humanist Manifesto to his system of public education.
He believed that humanism was actually a religion, and that the are
He emphasized Asocial @ as the of the public
schools in order to promote Astate-consciousness.@

AFaith in the prayer-hearing God is an and faith. There is no God and there is no soul. Hence, there are no needs for the props of traditional religion. With dogma and creed excluded, the immutable truth is also dead and buried. There is no room for \_\_\_\_\_\_, natural law or \_\_\_\_\_ absolutes.@ (John Dewey, ASoul-Searching,@ Teacher Magazine, September 1933, p. 33) What an amazing contrast these ideas are compared to the Bible-based ideals that were part of America=s beginnings! Although, at first, the philosophies of Horace Mann and the system proposed by John Dewey were \_\_\_\_\_\_ by many Americans, our society is now reaping the whirlwind of their entrenched ideologies. Generally speaking, the majority of twenty-first century students are now graduating from the Public School System: Dumbed down, lacking comprehension \$ Self-centered Without the ability to \_\_\_\_\_\_ for themselves Oriented towards a \_\_\_\_\_ to make money, not to \_\_\_\_\_ \$ others Without faith or a belief in a \_\_\_\_\_\_ roots \$ \$ With a little bit of knowledge about a myriad of disconnected subjects, based \$ on a system of getting A\_\_\_\_\_\_@ in order to graduate \$ Believing that the world will simply be blown up some day, so why try? Believing that the U.S. Constitution is out\_\_\_\_\_ and that it is \$ unfortunate that they live in this country Believing that \_\_\_\_\_\_ is the most important thing a person should know \$ how to do Believing that science \_\_\_\_\_\_ there is no God \$ \$ Lacking a sense of \_\_\_\_\_ and ethical \_\_\_\_\_ \$ Lacking self-discipline \$ Disrespecting any authority figure The list goes on. It is apparent that Dewey has been extremely in his goals to capture Americans inside the web of a godless culture. It is simply an extension of Lucifer=s plan to frustrate the progress of his choicest and most valiant spirits of these last days. Un-doing Dewey and these demonic philosophies, is indeed a difficult swim upstream, to say the least. It is therefore highly important for parents, teachers, and students to: • First, see and understand where we are in education \_\_\_\_\_\_, • Second, take some time to de-toxify from the \_\_\_\_\_ philosophies, and • Third, begin again to \_\_\_\_\_ our educational base of God-centered education.

John Dewey himself admitted his atheistic beliefs when he declared:

Change of thought, however, cannot happen over-night. It took Dewey and Mann fifty years to implement their godless plan. But God is faster. His day is here, and He is on our side. They thought they could "dew" it, but with God we know we can re-do it, and do it **Dewey's Educational System** Students who study the philosophies of John Dewey soon learn that he patterned his of education around two main beliefs: First, he said that \_\_\_\_\_ are the biggest enemy to education. He wrote that since children look to their mothers for their guidance, and fathers for acceptance, mothers will " "their children's education by telling them that Godless educational concepts are wrong. He believed that \_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ papers could be used to replace Mothers' views. Secondly, since Dewey believed that \_\_\_\_\_\_ beliefs must be eliminated from all public education, he felt this plan could be accomplished by separating children from their siblings throughout their educational experience, and then teaching each age different \_\_\_\_\_\_. **Dividing the Family Unit** As schools spread throughout our growing nation, these philosophies and plans began to be put into place. Students were stratified into \_\_\_\_\_\_ according to \_\_\_\_\_. This is called "age group \_\_\_\_\_." Students were also positioned in different school buildings. This is called "age group ." To accommodate Dewey's philosophy and system of segregation, funding was provided for building (1) elementary schools, (2) middle schools, and (3) high schools. Students then would be progressing from location to location, as well as from age level to age level. Funding had to be expanded further to accommodate this system with school buses. This routine might prove to be one of the major sources underlying today's educational dilemma. It is doubtful that "age group segregation" is any educational organization. To understand why, let us look at a hypothetical example. Imagine what would happen if a \_\_\_\_\_\_ built three chapels at different locations throughout the community, so the leaders could "segregate" their members by age.

One chapel would be for the \_\_\_\_\_ children. The second location would house the pre-high school age, and the teenagers would be \_\_\_\_\_ to a third chapel. Any who might wish to attend would be restricted to evening services or when

church is not in session for the youth. Family members would not attend church

\_\_\_\_.

It is obvious that "segregation" practiced in this manner wo	ould be highly impractical.
It would frustrate the goals of the church – which are to	its members and
the families.	

#### **Peer Pressure—The Beginnings**

One of the unique by-products of "segregation" that is not prevalent under	
"integration" is an acceptance craving called pressure. Researchers are	
surprised to find that this desire to be accepted by one's classmates in school is now the	most
potent force in the life of young people. This pressure reaches maximum intensity in the	e
years. To many, peer acceptance has replaced traditional family and church	1
loyalties. It is natural to want to be accepted by those with whom we associate, but an	over-
abundance of this powerful " pressure" can actually be used to create	
"" loyalties.	

Peer pressure begins to germinate in the elementary years and matures under the segregated age group system. As students advance from kindergarten to high school, they are constantly put in a mind set where they can't wait to be the "top dog" at each school. When they and their peers are the oldest in school, they pride themselves in being the models all other students want to emulate. They know that at the end of the school year, they will be thrust back to being the youngest group again, so they purposely flaunt their favored status while it is theirs.

Some say these forward-backward changes are good for children, and prepare them for the "real world". Others warn that these transitions nourish the roots from which peer pressure blossoms. Experts point out that students do best when they have the combination of older associates to emulate and younger students to guide.

This is especially significant when personality growth patterns are studied. For example, at the same time that he is viewed as the role model for the whole elementary school, the sixth grader is going through one of his toughest years. In fact, one best selling author describes this age as one of "anarchy". In his popular book, *So You Want to Raise a Boy*, he writes:

"This period is characterized by a scratchy personality, open resistance to authority, indifference to parental standards, daydreaming, independence, and sometimes downright defiance." (P. 159)

These youngsters need to have someone older to follow. They are certainly not in a position to be the best examples themselves. Without older students to emulate, they are left to look to their own age for both acceptance and leadership. Experts say that during the elementary years, the sixth grade is the most powerful setting for the intensification of peer pressure. It is interesting to note that when students of *all* ages attend school in the same building – like a Church –this traumatic experience of intense peer acceptance rarely occurs.

#### From Peer Pressure to Gang Mentality

A look at the student ready to enter the first year of public high school also provides an example for concern. When they have left elementary school, and then "muddled" through middle school, students lose the security of being the oldest in class when they enter high school. They don't know their way around the school. They don't know the teachers. They feel out of place. Often older students treat them with contempt by making fun of their ignorance of the system and by making them the object of pranks and demeaning tricks. This causes the new students to feel ostracized and totally shut out.

At the same time all this is happening to the new high school student, he will go through another crisis in personality development. A boy at this time of life "...is potentially everything yet practically nothing. He is mostly something about to be. His voice is changing. His chin is sprouting a fuzz. He is too big for little league but too young for junior league. He attracts girls but borders on convulsions when one gives him personalized attention. Junior talks big and things big, but somehow most things are just beyond his powers of fulfillment. Mother Nature seems to have him suspended in space – he knows he has just come from somewhere but he feels nervous about where he is going from here. As one expert put it, 'His cake is only two-thirds baked!' " (*Ibid, p. 191*)

For girls, this is a particularly difficult time. Oh, to be a cheerleader! The hair must be just the right length and color (preferably blonde). Make-up must be applied with all the "in" shades (which can take hours in the morning before facing everyone at school). Fingernails – the longer the better. Style is everything. To be over-weight is practically the death-knell to any goals towards popularity and acceptance.

Unfortunately, when students feel like they must only think, act, and look like the popular crowd, "peer pressure" is all encompassing. For the next years, the younger high school students will do everything and anything to become accepted – even if it means abandoning family and church.

The personality traits of all ages from kindergarten through high school reveal more and more evidence that age group segregation does indeed remove family and religious beliefs and loyalties, by replacing them with pressure to be accepted by their peers.

#### **Families Together Again**

It is very important for students at the various critical ages to have younger associates attending school with them, so they are not placed in such an insecure position. At the same time, there is a natural tendency to protect and guide younger students—especially if they are family members.

At Kimber Academy, children are only separated into gro	oups:
Junior-A Class (ages 6 – 8) Junior-B Class (ages 9 – 11)	
Senior Class (ages 12 and up)	
This class organization follows the personalityages 6 through their teen years. It also allows family members to learn _	of children from
Learning together is especially important when religious lessons and moderated in every subject.	ral values are

A comparison between the valuele	ess education that Horace Mann and John Dewey
designed, and the Kimber Academy syste	em of moral and religious values, will readily show
why families learning	is of vital importance:

## **The Kimber Academy Values**

1.	Building and strengthening students' of ar	ıd	
	with Heavenly Father and their Savior		
2.	Building and strengthening students' relationships with their		and
	members		
3.	Building students' understanding of their God-given	and a	
	commitment to maintain them		
4.	Instilling within the students a of learning		
5.	Instilling within the students a personal	of their	
	education		
6.	Implementing intrinsic values to develop students'		
7.	Building students' of the cognitive skills		
8.	Building students' mastery of each academic		
9.	Building students' mastery of financial	-	

Session 3 will focus on why we teach each academic subject the way we do.